UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,237	07/17/2007	Kenji Kawai	358362011400	7341
	7590 10/15/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/593,237	KAWAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lawrence D. Ferguson	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn fro 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the concept that the correction of the concept of the correction of the correctio	r election requirement.  r.  are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	animor. Note the attached office	7 (0.1017 07 1011117 1 0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/18/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/593,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Election

This action is in response to the provisional election mailed June 16, 2009.
 (Group I) Claims 1-4 and 6-9 were elected, without traverse, rendering (Group II) Claim
 withdrawn as a non-elected invention. Applicant has authorized to cancel the unelected claim upon indication of allowable subject matter.

# Objection

2. Claim 1 is objected to for not ending in a period. Correction is required.

Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Claim 6 depends from claim 3, which is also a multiple dependent claim.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(b)

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/593,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kenji et al. (JP 2003-291282).

Kenji discloses a polyolefin laminate film comprising a biaxially oriented polypropylene base layer and a polyolefin sealing layer which is formed on at least one surface of the base layer (abstract, paragraphs 0004-0005 and claim 1). Because Kenji discloses a polyolefin laminate film with the same materials and size configuration, as shown in Figure 1 of Kenji, it is inherent for the film of Kenji to have the same width direction thickness variation rate and product takeout width as claimed, which would result in the film of Kenji having the same formula as in claims 1-2.

Concerning claims 3-4, Kenji discloses the sealing and substrate layers comprise antifogging agents (paragraph 0013 and claims 1-2).

5. Claims 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art does not teach or suggest the recited film further including the film wound into a roll having a takeout width of not less than 500 mm and a length of not less than 2000m. Additionally, the closest prior art does not teach or suggest the recited film further including a thickness variation Z (%) of not less than 3% and not more than 15%.

The prior art does not teach motivation or suggestion for modification to make the invention as instantly claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,237 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is 571-272-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM – 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample, can be reached on 571-272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Lawrence Ferguson/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794